



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DA                         | ATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/052,278                | 03/30/1998                        |           | MICHAEL B. ROBIN     | MSI-206USC1         | 8962             |
| 22801                     | 7590 12                           | 2/04/2001 |                      |                     |                  |
| LEE & HAY                 | ES PLLC                           |           | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 421 W RIVER<br>SPOKANE, W | SIDE AVENUE SUITE 500<br>/A 99201 |           |                      | HARRISON, CHANTE E  |                  |
|                           |                                   |           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |                                   |           |                      | 2672                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EN

Application No. 09/052,278 Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Robin



2672 Chante' Harrison

|   |   | the cover chart with the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of   | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHO   | or Reply<br>ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  | i  |  |  |  |  |
|   | sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF<br>er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat<br>period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a                  | t 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ion. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will              |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  |  |  |  |  |
| cor<br>- Failur   | mmunication.  | statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 💢  | •   | 001  |  |  |  |  |
|   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ This action   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗆  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposi   | tion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | a) Of the above, claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) 💢  | Claim(s) 2 and 4  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) 💢  | Claim(s) 1, 3, and 5-8  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗆  | Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) 🗆  | Claims  | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applica   | ation Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗆  | 9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The drawing(s) filed onis/are   | objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d   | ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |
| * (   | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14)   | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachr   | ment(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |   | 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).   |  |  |  |  |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                        |   | 19) Notice of Informal Petent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Uther:           |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/052,278 (Robin) Page 2

Art Unit: 2672

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment D, filed on 9/17/01.

2. Claims 1-8 are pending in the case. Claims 1 and 3 are independent claims. Claims 2 and 4 have been amended.

Application/Control Number: 09/052,278 (Robin) Page 3

Art Unit: 2672

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLaughlin et al., U.S. Patent 5,570,108, 10/1996, 345/146.

As per independent claim 1, McLaughlin discloses providing a plurality of controls on a screen of a video display device (FIGS. 2-4), identifying a control group (col. 7, ll. 30 et seq.), the group comprised of at least two controls associated in a data structure (FIG. 4 ' 48-50', '60-63'; col 7, ll. 39-48), representing the control group with a single status indicator (col. 7, ll. 30 et seq.) directing the activation of the controls of the control group (col. 7-8, ll. 13-22), but fails to specifically disclose storing an active value for the group. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the disclosure of McLaughlin because he teaches associating a group of controls, polling the status of the display to identify user commands in a system that utilizes random access memory. Additionally, the polling of the display status implies that the activation/deactivation of controls, as a group or individually, are stored.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/052,278 (Robin)

Art Unit: 2672

As per independent claim 3, McLaughlin discloses a memory (FIG. 1 '12'), the identifier having an active state and an inactive state (col. 7, ll. 12 et seq.), the identifier represents the controls of the control grouping (col. 7, ll. 12 et seq.), but fails to specifically disclose a control grouping identifier contained in memory. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the disclosure of McLaughlin because he discloses the use of memory (FIG. 1 '12') and polling the display status to effect user commands (col. 8, ll. 10 et seq.) to activate a group of controls (col. 7, ll. 36 et seq.).

As per dependent claim 5, McLaughlin discloses storing an active value in a status indicator for each control (col. 7-8, ll. 30-23).

As per dependent claim 6, McLaughlin discloses masking the active value in the status indicator to deactivate the control (col. 7, ll. 49 et seq.).

As per dependent claim 7, the rejections as applied to dependent claims 5 and 6 are included herein.

As per dependent claim 8, McLaughlin fails to specifically disclose a control grouping identifier contained in memory, the identifier having an active state and an inactive state and the identifier represents the controls of the control grouping. However it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 09/052,278 (Robin) Page 5

Art Unit: 2672

one of ordinary skill in the art to use the disclosure of McLaughlin because he discloses the use of memory (FIG. 1 '12') and polling the display status to effect user commands (col. 8, ll. 10 et seq.) to activate a group of controls (col. 7, ll. 36 et seq.).

Art Unit: 2672

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2 and 4 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 7

Art Unit: 2672

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Chante Harrison whose telephone number is (703) 305-3937.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

< mil a